Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

mer-Trichlorotris(1,3-thiazole-N)ruthenium(III)

Pifferi and Cini

Electronic paper

This paper is published electronically. It meets the data-validation criteria for publication in Acta Crystallographica Section C. The submission has been checked by a Section C Co-editor though the text in the 'Comments' section is the responsibility of the authors.

© 2000 International Union of Crystallography • Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

ISSN 0108-2701

mer-Trichlorotris(1,3-thiazole-*N*)ruthenium(III)

Claudia Pifferi and Renzo Cini*

Department of Chemical and Biosystem Sciences and Technologies, University of Siena, Via E. Bastianini 12, I-53100 Siena, Italy Correspondence e-mail: cini@unisi.it

Received 22 May 2000 Accepted 5 September 2000

Data validation number: IUC0000250

The potentially cytostatic title compound, *mer*-[RuCl₃(C₃H₃NS)₃], is the first Ru^{III}-thz (thz is 1,3-thiazole) complex characterized *via* X-ray diffraction and consists of discrete complex molecules with an octahedral coordination sphere in which the metal centre is linked to three chloride ions and to three thz ligands through the N atoms. The Ru–Cl and Ru–N bond distances average 2.3462 (6) and 2.0851 (19) Å, respectively.

Comment

On continuing our research efforts to prepare and structurally characterize complexes of platinum group metals of potential cytostatic and antitumoral activity (Cini *et al.*, 1998; Pifferi & Cini, 1998; Cavaglioni & Cini, 1997; Cini, 1996), we have carried out the synthesis and the X-ray structural characterization of the title compound, (I), from the reaction of K₃RuCl₆ with thz (thz is 1,3-thiazole) in methanol. It is interesting to note that the thz group is frequently encountered in several biomolecules and active drugs (Hansch *et al.*, 1990), and that other workers found that thz–metal complexes possess cytostatic activity (Van Beusichem & Farrell, 1992).



Furthermore, several Ru^{II} and Ru^{III} complexes have shown cytostatic and antitumoral activity (Clarke & Stubbs, 1996).

The crystals of the title compound contain octahedral molecules in which the metal atom is linked to three chloride anions (meridional positions) and to three thz molecules through their N atoms. The S atoms do not have any significant contact distance to the metal. The Ru–Cl bond distances have the same values within three times the estimated standard uncertainty and are in the range 2.3446 (6)–2.3491 (6) Å, in

agreement with previously published Ru^{III}-Cl bond lengths (Ziegler et al., 1999). The Ru–N bond distances also are equal within three times the e.s.d. and are in the range 2.0826 (19)-2.0889 (18) Å; they are very close to the Ru^{II} – N(thz *trans* to thz) bond distances [average 2.094 (5) Å] previously found for trans-[RuCl₂(PPh₃)(thz)₃] (Pifferi & Cini, 1998). The bond angles at the metal centre have almost idealized values; the largest deviations from 90 and 180° were found for Cl1-Ru-Cl2 [92.93 (3)°] and Cl1-Ru-Cl3 [175.47 (2)°]. All three thz ligands are affected by a statistical disorder (see *Experimental*) and have a planar arrangement of the atoms. The type of disorder found in the present work has been reported previously for several metal-N(thz) complexes (Pifferi & Cini, 1998; Cavaglioni & Cini, 1997; Cornia et al., 1997; James et al., 1997); by contrast, a similar disorder for N1-coordinated imidazole (imz, a frequently encountered and biologically significant ligand) is rarely reported in the literature (see, for instance, Hu et al., 1997).

The molecule of the title compound is stabilized by eight intramolecular C-H···Cl interactions. Two C-H groups from *trans* thz(1) and thz(3) ligands interact with two Cl atoms each and have the shortest C···Cl distances (range 3.217-3.296 Å). The smallest C-N-Ru-Cl torsion angles for thz(1) and thz(3) are 27.6 (2) and 38.2 (2) $^{\circ}$ (absolute values), respectively, in agreement with the strongest interactions. The smallest C–N–Ru–Cl torsion angle for thz(2) is $51.3 (2)^{\circ}$. This means that the thz(2) system, which is closer to the Ru-N1/N3 vectors than to the Ru-Cl1/Cl3 ones, must experience a repulsive net effect from thz(1) and thz(3). The orientation of thz(2) can have a rationale through the intermolecular interactions to Cl2 $(-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2})$ and Cl2 $(x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2})$ $z + \frac{1}{2}$), as well as through the stacking interactions to $thz(3)(-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2})$. It has to be noted that the unconventional $M-Cl\cdots H-C$ bonding mode may play a structural role for M-C bond-formation reactions (Huang et al., 1998; Cavaglioni & Cini, 1997), and for stabilizing electronically and coordinatively unsaturated organometallic and coordination compounds (Cini & Cavaglioni, 1999).

In conclusion, we have prepared and structurally characterized a new Ru^{II} complex that can exert some cytostatic activity *via* metal-nucleic acid interactions once the labile chloride ligands have been removed inside the cell. Furthermore, the analysis confirms that $M-\text{Cl}\cdots\text{H}-\text{C}$ -type interactions have a significant structural role in coordination compounds. Finally, the work says that twofold-type disorders around the M-N(thz) vectors [and possibly around the M-N(imz) vectors] are highly probable. This indicates that very accurate structural determinations have to be performed in order to treat such a type of disorder for M-imz complexes, because the small geometrical and electronic differences between the CH and NH functions, when compared to those between the CH and S ones.

Experimental

A suspension of K_3RuCl_6 (150 mg, 0.35 mmol) in MeOH (10 ml) was added to excess thiazole (350 mg, 4.1 mmol) and refluxed for 2 h. The

mixture was cooled to 298 K and filtered. The filtrate (green) was concentrated by evaporating the solvent (298 K) and then stored at 278 K. Red crystals formed within 3-5 weeks. They were filtered off and stored in the air.

Crystal data

[Ru(C₃H₃NS)₃Cl₃] $M_r = 462.79$ Monoclinic, P21/n a = 9.446(1) Å b = 11.486(1) Å c = 14.342(1) Å $\beta = 93.14 \ (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 1553.7 (2) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North et al., 1968) $T_{\rm min}=0.647,\ T_{\rm max}=0.682$ 4609 measured reflections 3564 independent reflections 3111 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0274P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0232$ $wR(F^2) = 0.055$ S=1.01 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \mathring{A}}^{-3}$ 3564 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.46 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 235 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ru–N3	2.0826 (19)	N1-C21	1.333 (3)
Ru-N1	2.0837 (19)	N1-C51	1.341 (3)
Ru-N2	2.0890 (18)	N2-C22	1.339 (3)
Ru-Cl2	2.3446 (6)	N2-C52	1.346 (3)
Ru-Cl1	2.3458 (6)	N3-C53	1.334 (3)
Ru-Cl3	2.3491 (6)	N3-C23	1.341 (3)
$N3_Ru_N1$	179 69 (8)	N2 = Ru = C13	87 37 (5)
N3-Ru-N2	89.86 (7)	Cl2 - Ru - Cl3	91.47(2)
N1-Ru-N2	90.28 (7)	Cl1-Ru-Cl3	175.47 (2)
N3-Ru-Cl2	91.08 (6)	C21-N1-C51	111.4 (2)
N1-Ru-Cl2	88.77 (6)	C21-N1-Ru	123.25 (17)
N2-Ru-Cl2	178.50 (5)	C51-N1-Ru	125.38 (18)
N3-Ru-Cl1	89.89 (6)	C22-N2-C52	110.8 (2)
N1-Ru-Cl1	90.40 (6)	C22-N2-Ru	124.00 (16)
N2-Ru-Cl1	88.24 (5)	C52-N2-Ru	125.16 (15)
Cl2-Ru-Cl1	92.93 (3)	C53-N3-C23	111.1 (2)
N3-Ru-Cl3	88.96 (6)	C53-N3-Ru	125.84 (16)
N1-Ru-Cl3	90.77 (6)	C23-N3-Ru	123.01 (18)

 $D_x = 1.978 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 33 reflections $\theta = 7.5 - 20.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.915 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KPrism, dark red $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.013$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.50^{\circ}$ $h = -1 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -1 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 3 standard reflections every 97 reflections intensity decay: none

+ 0.8664P]

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

refinement. They were considered isotropic and their displacement parameters were restrained to $1.2U_{eq}$ of the atoms to which they are bound. Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: CIFTAB (Sheldrick, 1997).

> RC thanks the University of Siena, Ministero dell'Universitá e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica, MURST (Roma), and Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, CNR (Roma), for financial support.

The three thz ligands are affected by statistical disorder around the

Ru-N vectors with two distinct orientations each (pseudo-twofold

type). The occupancies of the sets of atoms for the two orientations

were refined to 0.609 (4)/0.391 (4), 0.581 (4)/0.419 (4) and 0.522 (4)/ 0.478 (4) for thz(1), thz(2), and thz(3), respectively. The C-S and

C-C bond distances were restrained to be 1.70 ± 0.02 and

1.36±0.01 Å, respectively. All the H atoms were set in calculated

positions and allowed to ride on the respective C atoms during

References

- Cavaglioni, A. & Cini, R. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1149-1158.
- Cini, R. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 111-116.
- Cini, R. & Cavaglioni, A. (1999). Inorg. Chem. 38, 3751-3754.
- Cini, R., Intini, F. P., Maresca, L., Pacifico, C. & Natile, G. (1998). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 1305-1312.
- Clarke, M. J. & Stubbs, M. (1996). Metal Ions in Biological Systems, edited by A. Sigel & H. Sigel, ch. 21, pp. 727-766. Basel: Marcel Dekker.
- Cornia, A., Fabretti, A. C., Bonivento, M. & Cattalini, L. (1997). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 255, 405-411.
- Hansch, C., Sammes, P. G., Taylor, J. B., Emmett, J. C., Kennewell, P. D. & Ramsden, C. A. (1990). Editors. Comprehensive Medicinal Chemistry. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Hu, H.-M., Sun, H.-S., Chen, X.-H., Zhao, Q., Pan, Y., Huang, X.-Y. & You, X.-Z. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 276-279.
- Huang, L.-Y., Aulwurm, U. R., Heinemann, F. W., Knoch, F. & Kisch, H. (1998). Chem. Eur. J. 4, 1641-1646.
- James, M., Kawaguchi, H. & Tatsumi, K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1391-1393.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359
- Pifferi, C. & Cini, R. (1998). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2679-710.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Van Beusichem, M. & Farrell, N. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 634-639.
- Ziegler, M., Monney, V., Stoeckli-Evans, H., Von Zelewsky, A., Sasaki, I., Dupic, G., Daran, J.-C. & Balavoine, G. G. A. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 667-673.